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Task Objectives

In view of climate change and energy market dynamics, this task addresses the response of aquatic ecosystems to future streamflow alterations resulting from

- modified hydropower operating conditions and improved flexibility
- the increasing development of small hydropower plants (SHPPs), by means of which the Energy Strategy 2050 aims at an additional power generation of 1 to 2 TWh·yr⁻¹.

A better understanding of the ecological effects following operational and infrastructural measures will allow to develop improved environmental impact strategies for a given power production. In particular, this will be achieved by

- optimizing the spatial distribution of power production in a network of HPPs and SHPPs at the catchment scale
- developing new criteria for environmental flows, which minimize negative environmental impacts by mimicking natural flow dynamics, while maintaining or increasing hydropower production.

Interaction Between the Partners – Synthesis

The five research institutes involved in this project jointly developed the NRP70 project proposal HydroEnv (Gabbud et al.).

Highlights 2015

- It has been theoretically shown that the current minimum environmental flow regulations are not optimal for both hydropower production and the environment at the same time (Tron et al.).
- A new research project has been initiated to further evaluate possibilities to optimize environmental flow releases (Gabbud et al.).
- A literature review has shown that the environmental impacts of small hydropower plants (SHPPs) are poorly known, especially the effects of multiple SHPPs on ecological and evolutionary processes at the network scale, and that there is a need to develop new management tools to consider these network-scale impacts (Lange et al.).
- Preliminary simulations indicate that the optimal positioning of SHPPs in a river network may be different if the network perspective is considered in the assessment (Meier et al.).
- Preliminary results from a reach-scale field study indicate that fish are affected by SHPP through changes in their respective food resources (Lange et al.).
- A new project has been started, which aims at evaluating the status of floodplains affected by hydropower operations and the development of suitable management actions and restoration measures at the floodplain scale (Schleiss et al.).
Optimizing environmental flow releases under future hydropower operation (HydroEnv)

Gabbud C, Pellicanò R, Niayifar A and Chanut P — Under the supervision of Prof. Burlando P (ETHZ) and respectively Lane SN (UNIL), Molnar P (ETHZ), Perona P (EPFL) and Robinson C (EAWAG)

1. Introduction

This project is part of the NRP 70 Program – Energy Turnaround: Scientific and technological aspects.

The global aim is to provide new and advanced methods for the analysis of medium- to long-term tradeoffs between hydropower production and eco-hydrological dynamics in Alpine catchments under current and projected future climate.

We expect the results to provide a basis for guidelines to hydropower producers and legislators regarding, as much as possible, this overall aim.

Four institutes are involved (ETHZ, UNIL, EPFL, EAWAG).

In addition to interactions with SCCER-SoE tasks, we have the opportunity to interact with national leaders in hydropower production (OFIMA – Ticino; HYDRO Exploitation SA, Alpiq – Valais) and with different environmental associations (WWF, Pro Natura, aso).

2. Study sites

- Borge d’Arolla (VS)
- Maggia River (TI)

3. Methods

- Hydrology, watershed and hydraulic modelling
- Fluvial geomorphology and river processes
- Remote sensing (LiDAR, drone and airplane aerial imagery)
- Aquatic ecology
- Habitat studies and modelling
- Riparian vegetation dynamics modelling
- Strategies of dynamic environmental flows (DEFs)

4. Four orientations, a lot of interactions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ETHZ</th>
<th>EAWAG</th>
<th>UNIL</th>
<th>EPFL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Hydrology of the systems</td>
<td>• Aquatic ecology of the systems</td>
<td>• Sediment disruption and fluxes</td>
<td>• DEF modelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• riparian water stress</td>
<td>• Monitoring</td>
<td>• River morphology</td>
<td>• Hydropower optimization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• climate change effect</td>
<td>• Indicators</td>
<td>• Climate change</td>
<td>• Riparian benefits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hydropower Production system (operation and release rules)
Abiotic river environment (sediment, morphology)
River ecosystem (macroinvertebrates, fish, riparian vegetation)
Dynamic Environmental Flows (DEFs)

5. Perspectives

- Three year project, started in spring 2015
- Data generation and analysis (from summer field work)
- Emphasis upon remote sensing of historical impacts and effects of trials undertaken as part of project; as well as ecosystem sampling
- Integrating numerical models to be developed as forecasting tools

Improve current models of a river reach (from Shaad and Burlando 2015)
Drone-based ortho-images (resolution < 10 cm)

Determination of possible eco-sustainable flow releases for dam-regulated and water offtake systems
Ecological monitoring (e.g. macroinvertebrate sampling and determination)
Abstract

Flow reduction for hydropower production is expected to have significant effects on aquatic ecosystems in the Maggia River (Canton Ticino). Within this floodplain ecosystem, the ecological effects of flow regulation are likely to be mediated by aquatic habitat fragmentation and change in local environmental conditions (temperature, chemistry, oxygen levels, habitat size...).

By studying macroinvertebrate community assembly and food web structure at sites linked by varying degrees of hydrological connectivity, we will quantify the effects of habitat fragmentation on aquatic ecosystems. More generally, this study will contribute to the Energy Strategy 2050 by providing robust knowledge on processes linking flow regulation and downstream ecological effects.

1. Introduction

The Maggia River is maintained at low flow during prolonged periods for hydropower production. This flow reduction creates a mosaic of habitat patches with varying degrees of hydrological connectivity, ranging from fully connected flowing channels to isolated ponds. Local environmental conditions are expected to be substantially different between these habitat patches due to differing hydrological regimes.

In order to quantify the effects of flow reduction on the ecosystem in this fragmented floodplain habitat, we will study macroinvertebrate metacommunity assembly as inter-patch connectivity decreases after a flow event.

2. Methods

Two sampling designs: a tri-monthly sampling campaign will reveal seasonal variation in macroinvertebrate metacommunity structure, and an intensive sampling campaign following a flood will identify processes driving metacommunity assembly.

- Habitat characterization for each site:
  - 2D hydrodynamic model to derive hydrological regime for each site
  - Deployment of temperature data loggers
  - Drone imagery to derive habitat size fluctuations
  - Field-based habitat characterization: substrate-size distribution, water physicochemistry, habitat size, primary productivity (periphyton cover)

- Characterization of spatial distances and connectivity among sites:
  - Drone imagery in combination with flow gauging to identify fluctuations of hydrological connectivity between habitats
  - Drone imagery to derive Euclidian distances between sites and friction maps

- Analysis of macroinvertebrate community composition and food web structure
  - Characterization of macroinvertebrate community composition and biological traits from field samples
  - Analysis of stable isotopic ratios from macroinvertebrates, fish, and periphyton to derive food web structure
  - Combination of quantitative sampling and stable isotopic analysis to calculate energy flow through the food web

3. Conclusions

This study of the effects of flow regulation on macroinvertebrate community assembly will provide key knowledge on ecological effects of flow regulation on downstream floodplain ecosystems. The combination of structural and functional ecological metrics will enable to not only identify patterns but also understand ecological processes linking flow regulation, habitat fragmentation and ecosystem health (in terms of resistance and resilience).
Trade-offs Between Small Hydropower Plants and Ecosystem Services in an Alpine River Network

Philipp Meier¹, Katharina Lange², Robin Schwenmme³, and Daniel Viviroli³

¹Eawag, Department of Surface Waters – Research and Management, Kastanienbaum; ²Eawag, Department of Fish Ecology and Evolution, Kastanienbaum; ³Hydrology and Climate Unit, Department of Geography, University of Zurich

Introduction

Being considered a relatively environment-friendly electricity source, investment in small run-of-the-river hydropower plants (SHP) is promoted through subsidies. However, SHP can have a significant impact on riverine ecosystems, especially in the Alpine region where residual flow reaches tend to be long. An increase in hydropower exploitation will therefore increase pressure on ecosystems. In order to avoid the most severe ecological effects, the following questions need to be answered during the planning process:

- Where should small hydropower plants be built?
- What costs and benefits can be expected?

Optimal positioning of SHP

SHP need to be added to the system while respecting multiple objectives, such as power production, investment cost and ecological impacts. Therefore a multi-objective optimisation strategy is deployed using evolutionary algorithms.

For this purpose the whole river basin is divided uniformly into river segments. For each segment the natural discharge regime and incremental discharge \( \frac{dQ}{dx} \) is derived from a hydrological model.

The position of water intake and outlet and the design capacity of SHP are used as decision variables.

The optimisation algorithm evaluates different configurations of SHP within the river basin and selects a set of Pareto-optimal configurations based on different objectives.

Conclusions

- A framework for Pareto-optimal positioning of small hydropower plants is presented.
- The selection of objectives drives the optimal locations for constructing new power plants.
- With increased planned power production network based objectives become less dominant.
- Objectives need to be refined to represent ecological needs.

Objective functions

Lumped objectives

Lumped objectives are local impacts, summed up over all power plants \( n \) or over each river segment \( i \).

Total electricity production (PP)

\[ \sum_{i} f(P_i, Q_{i,t}) \triangle Q_{i,t} \]

Investment cost (Inv)

\[ \sum_{i} L_i \]

Fraction of residual flow reaches (Resi)

\[ \sum_{i} Q_{i,nat} - Q_{i,24} \]

High-flows deficit (HD)

\[ \sum_{i} \frac{Q_{i,nat} - Q_{i,24}}{Q_{i,nat}} \]

Network based objective

Maximum migration capacity (Mig)

Even small dams at water intakes block migration paths for many aquatic organisms. The maximum migration capacity within the river network is defined as follows:

\[ Mig = \max wQdx \]

Distance weighting function \( w(x) \):

\[ w(x) = \frac{1}{r(x)} \]

Discharge along river stretch \( Q(x) \):

\[ Q(x) = \frac{Q}{2} \]

Evolutionary Algorithms

A class of optimisation algorithms inspired by biological evolution.

Reproduction of parameter sets

Parents: [1, 1, 0, 0] [0, 1, 0, 1]

Children: [1, 1, 0, 1] [0, 0, 1, 0]

Mutation of parameter sets

Parents: [1, 1, 0, 1] [0, 0, 1, 0]

Random change

Selection based on objectives

1. Calculate objectives for each parameter set
2. Select non-dominated solutions
3. Non-dominated solutions are parameters for next generation

Results from case study Albula River

Using lumped objectives only

Pareto-optimal solutions with respect to four objectives: total electricity production (PP), investment cost (Inv), high-flows deficit (HD) and fraction of residual flow reaches (Resi).

SHP positions are mainly driven by mean discharge and slope, independent of yearly power production.

5 GWh yr⁻¹ 10 GWh yr⁻¹ 20 GWh yr⁻¹

Using network-based objectives

Pareto-optimal solutions including the maximum migration capacity (Mig) for aquatic organisms as additional objective.

Position of SHP driven by network based objective as long as power production is small. For higher electricity production the network based objective loses its significance.

5 GWh yr⁻¹ 10 G Wh yr⁻¹ 20 GWh yr⁻¹

Study site: Albula River

- Area of 529 km²
- Mean discharge of 15.5 m³ s⁻¹
- River divided into segments of 500 m
- Natural discharge from hydrological model PREVAH

Conclusions

- A framework for Pareto-optimal positioning of small hydropower plants is presented.
- The selection of objectives drives the optimal locations for constructing new power plants.
- Objectives need to be refined to represent ecological needs.

Download poster

http://bit.ly/1X4LcO0
1. Abstract

Conducting river ecological analysis in combination with hydraulic measurements and modeling shall allow the connection between biologic with hydraulic indicators (i.e. Hydromorphological Index of diversity, HMID). This will result in tools for a sustainable development of hydropower production while optimizing and evaluating ecological floodplain goods and services, and sustaining effective decision-making processes. Different floodplains showing different hydropower and morphological impacts like hydropeaking, residual flow, damming, bedload deficit are subject of this study.

2. Introduction

In Switzerland, around 55% of the electricity is produced by hydropower plants. Hydropower facilities directly influence the natural flow regime, the main driver of environmental complexity in river floodplains (e.g. hydropeaking, water abstraction and sediment retention).

Floodplains cover only 0.26% of Switzerland’s territory. However, 10% of the fauna species found in Switzerland live exclusively, 40% regularly and 80% occasionally in floodplains what reflects their importance for Switzerland’s biodiversity.

3. Methods & Concept

**Indicator development**

Integrating structural (e.g. hydromorphology, fauna) and functional (e.g. respiration) floodplain properties

- Extend existing sets of mainly structural indicators
- Ecological evaluation of (managed) floodplain

**Modeling**

Predicting changes in structural and functional floodplain properties

- Extending ecological significance of structural hydraulic indicators and models
- Evaluation of the ecological potential and impacts of (managed) floodplains at the landscape scale

**Monitoring**

Verifying changes in structural and functional floodplain properties

- Effective assessment at the landscape scale
- Model calibration and spatial explicit quantification of indicators

4. Partners & Collaborators

Partners: KWO AG, BAFU, Auenberatungsstelle Abteilung Naturförderung kkt BE;

Integration: BAFU-program "Wasserbau&Ökologie", SCCER, "Handbook for evaluating rehabilitation projects in rivers and streams";

Follow-up group: M. Nietsche (BAFU), C. Weber (EAWAG), W. Gostner (Patscheider & Partner AG), C. Jörin, (Kt. FR);

Collaboration: ETH Zurich, University of Montana, University of Poland, University of Waterloo

5. Conclusions

With increased knowledge of floodplain ecosystem needs and stressor specific indicators, economically feasible managing possibilities of hydropower plants to minimize negative impacts on floodplain shall be developed.

This will improve the environmental sustainability of hydropower plants and increase the acceptance of existing and planned plants within society and politics.

6. Contacts

**PROJECT LEADER**

Prof. Dr. A. J. Schleiss
LCH – EPFL Lausanne
T +41 21 693 23 82
E anton.schleiss@epfl.ch

**PROJECT COORDINATOR**

Dr. Diego Tonolla
ZHAW Wädenswil
T +41 58 934 52 41
E diego.tonolla@zhaw.ch

**HYDRAULIC MODELING**

Mr. Severin Stähly (PhD student)
LCH – EPFL Lausanne
T +41 21 693 28 51
E severin.staehly@epfl.ch

**REMOTE SENSING**

Mr. Gillian Milani (PhD student)
UZH, Irchel
T +41 44 6355103
E Gillian.milani@geo.uzh.ch
Trading-off among multiple objectives: energy production from small hydropower plants, biodiversity and ecosystem services

Katharina Lange¹, Philipp Meier², Clemens Trautwein¹, Ulrike Köbler², Martin Schmid², Christopher Robinson³, Christine Weber², and Jakob Brodersen¹

¹Eawag, Department of Fish Ecology & Evolution, Kastanienbaum; ²Eawag, Department of Surface Waters, Kastanienbaum; ³Eawag, Department of Ecology, Dübendorf

Why focus on small hydropower plants?

Global surge in producing more energy from renewable sources is pushing the construction of small hydropower plants (SHP, < 10MW). These are often operated as run-of-river power plants that do not require large storage volumes and may create residual flow reaches below water intakes.

- Already numerous and many more will be constructed in the next decade
- Considered to have low ecological impact due to small size
- Lack of knowledge on ecological impacts at large scales
- Construction of SHP often subsidized by governmental funding

Aims of our interdisciplinary review

- Enhancing collaboration between engineers and ecologists to effectively trade-off economic gain and long-term environmental impacts of small hydropower plants.
- Overview of existing management tools for SHP construction and operation (where to build? how to run?).
- Identification of five challenges for ecological and evolutionary research to provide lacking information for management tools.

Overview of existing management tools

Hydropower management considers two decisions:
1. where to build a new hydropower plant, and
2. how to operate it.

Most management tools were developed for the operation of large hydropower plants typically regulated through reservoirs. SHPs are usually not operated actively. Ecological flow requirements for SHPs must be considered at the design stage; e.g. implementation of proportional flow release structures.

So far, optimisation of hydropower operation has been based mainly on single ecological objectives. Tools considering multiple objectives to assess optimum locations within a river network are rare (e.g. see Ziv et al. 2012, *Proc. B*).

Five challenges for ecological research

**At the reach scale:**

1. Understanding of SHP impacts on food-web composition as well as matter & energy flows
   - Reduced flows, sediment dynamics and organic matter retention have impacts on community composition, fish fitness, primary production and ecosystem metabolism but causal relationships are not known (also see by Poster K. Lange)

**At the catchment scale:**

2. Implications of multiple barriers for organism movement
3. Importance of spatial arrangement and connectivity of river reaches for habitat size and diversity
4. Isolation of river reaches and reduced habitat size and diversity as drivers of evolutionary processes
   - No genetic exchange in upstream direction
   - Loss of large, long-distance migratory fishes
   - Reduced population size, loss of genetic diversity and locally-adapted individuals

What are the next steps?

Predictive modelling allows for the inclusion of more parameters than in the past, as computational costs decreased in recent years.

The long-term goal for SHP management is to optimise the location and the operation of planned hydropower plants based on multiple objectives. These objectives should consider the challenges for ecological and evolutionary research at larger spatial scales.

It is important to select key organisms and functions for the development of metrics for biodiversity and ecosystem functioning that can be used for predictive modelling (see Poster by P. Meier) as well as for field assessments (see Poster by K. Lange).
Local-scale impacts of small hydropower plants on ecosystem functioning

Katharina Lange, Sergio Di Michelangeli, Yvonne Kahlert, Johannes Hellmann, Clemens Trautwein, Christine Weber, and Jakob Brodersen

Eawag, Center for Ecology, Evolution and Biogeochemistry, River Fish Ecology and River Restoration Groups, Kastanienbaum

Background
To gain a better process-based understanding of the potential negative effects of small hydropower plants (SHPs) on stream ecosystem structure and functioning, we study organisms and processes at multiple trophic levels:

- Trout density and somatic condition
- Trout stomach contents
- Invertebrate food supply
- Algal biomass
- Organic matter retention

Study design
We investigated six small run-of-river hydropower plants (<3MW) located in alpine regions Cantons: Graubünden, Bern
3 sampling locations at each power plant:
1. Upstream water intake
2. Residual reach below intake
3. Downstream power plant

Data collection. Using synergies with the Progetto Fiumi team working on the assessment of Swiss River Fish Biodiversity

In the field:
- Electrofishing, measuring, and preserving fish
- Sampling invertebrates, benthic algae and leaf material
- Assessment of channel stability, substrate and organic matter

In the laboratory:
- Analysing fish stomach contents
- Analysing benthic invertebrate community structure
- Processing algal biomass and stream sediments

Preliminary results from 3 SHPs

Site characteristics
Invertebrate community structure
Shift in trout stomach contents
Trout somatic condition

The sediment organic matter content was significantly lower in the residual flow reaches (p < 0.05).

Invertebrate species richness was significantly lower in the residual flow reaches than the downstream reaches (p<0.1).

PCA of stomach content composition for the powerplant Compatsch shows a shift in trout food sources for the three sampling locations.

Trout somatic condition (based on weight and length) was lower in the residual flow reaches than the downstream reaches (p < 0.01).

First conclusions
Invertebrate species richness was reduced in the residual flow reaches and fish showed shifts in resource use along a river corridor impacted by a SHP.

Fish somatic condition was reduced in the residual flow reaches, potentially due to alterations in invertebrate food supply.

Outlook
Stable isotopes will be used to study changes in food-web dynamics, e.g. shifts in basal resource use of lower trophic levels, food-chain length and carbon-transfer efficiency.

Assessment of ecosystem functioning using invertebrate traits which can serve as indirect functional indicators, e.g. signalling changes in flow, sediment and disturbance regimes and also shifts in resource use. Further, we should be able to single out the key organisms and processes affected by small hydropower plants in alpine streams which will inform the development of ecological metrics. These metrics can then be used for predictive modelling and, hence, for efficient ecosystem management to sustain biodiversity and ecosystem services.
Effect of a pumped-storage operation on hydrodynamics & water quality of the two linked lakes

Ulrike Gabriele Kobler
Eawag, Department of Surface Waters – Research and Management, Kastanienbaum, Switzerland (ulrike.kobler@eawag.ch)

Introduction

Sihlsee and Upper Lake Zurich are linked by a pumped-storage (PS) hydropower plant, operated by the Swiss Federal Railways. In 2017, a concession renewal is due. Therefore, this thesis deals in three stages with the impact assessment based on different pumped-storage scenarios.

Possible Impacts (e.g. Bonalumi et al. (2011))

... on both upper reservoir and lower lake
• Sediment resuspension due to water level fluctuations
• Entrainment of organisms
• Changes in turbidity, light availability, water temperature, stratification and nutrient fluxes... on the upper reservoir
• Modification of ice-on, ice-off and the thickness of the lake ice cover

Methods

Stage 1: Assessing PS-Impacts
• How will the hydrodynamics and water quality be affected?
• Is the additional complexity of 3D-modelling necessary to assess the impacts due to PS operation?

Stage 2: Ice Modelling
• What are the dominant processes determining lake ice formation and decay?
• How can these be observed at Sihlsee?
• Are tools available to increase ice modeller accuracy?
• What is still lacking regarding concepts of lake ice modelling, particularly to assess impacts of PS operations?

Stage 3: Assessment of Climate Change Effects
• How are the impacts of PS modified by climate change?

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Martin Schmid and Alfred Johny Wüest for their supervision, the Swiss Federal Railways for funding this thesis as well as the following institutions for providing data - MeteoSwiss, SC Sihlsee, FOEN, cantonal agencies (St.Gallen, Schwyz), WSL and WVZ.
Improving the global efficiency of small hydropower

S. Tron, L. Gorla, P. Razurel, A. Niayifar and P. Perona – Gr. AHEAD, EPFL ENAC, Lausanne, CH

Abstract

River intakes are used to divert water from the main course in both small and traditional hydropower systems. We show that the global (i.e., economical and ecological) efficiency of water diversions for energy production in small hydropower plants (SHPP) can be improved towards sustainability by engineering non-proportional dynamic flow-redistribution rules. The theoretical background is presented and applied to three case studies in order to test the global performance of such policies. Out of numerical simulations, a Pareto frontier emerges in the economic vs environmental efficiency plot, which shows that non-proportional distribution policies improve both efficiencies with respect to those obtained from some traditional MFR and proportional policies. We conclude that preserving natural river reaches requires to abandon inappropriate static release policies in favor of non-proportional ones, particularly under long term climatic scenarios affecting water availability and the natural flow regime.

1. Introduction

Releasing constant environmental flows Q_i (one or more thresholds) has been proven to be ecologically inefficient. New rules are sought in order to protect the biodiversity of riverine ecosystems (Perona et al., 2013).

Gorla and Perona (2013) introduced the concept of non-proportional redistribution for SHPP (Figure).

Razurel et al. (in revision) have generalized the methodology to a class of nonlinear functions.

Gorla et al. (submitted) have used such functions to compute the Pareto frontier in real SHPP.

In this work, we show advances of such researches.

Schematics of SHPP: water is distributed at the node N following a given rule.

2. Methods

Redistribution rules

We describe the fraction of water that is left to the river by means of a family of nonlinear functions.

We use a set of 2.10^8 such functions to computer simulate SHPP production and flow releases using 30 ys of daily flow data.

We compare the results of using such policies against MFR and proportional ones.

Global ecological efficiency

A global ecological indicator is built by joining normalized hydrological and habitat suitability indicators by weighted geometric average

3a. Results: the Pareto frontier in the efficiency plot

For Buseno SHPP, we show the shape of the Pareto frontier (below), which is only formed by non-proportional rules.

The resulting flow variability can be seen in the panels of the figure aside.

3b. Results: changing scenario of water availability

Water availability changes at medium- and long-term modifies the Pareto frontier and shifts the efficiency point toward other non-proportional rules. Concessions should be revisited before renewal!

6. Conclusions

Constant minimal flow policies (particularly two or more thresholds) are often not efficient and can be improved with dynamic ones that ensure natural-like variability of flow releases.

Depending on basin and power plant characteristics, non-proportional rules can be a better choice to protect the environment when exploitation is close to water resource saturation and availability.

A Graphical User Interface (GUI) that allows to obtain the efficiency plot for SHHP is being developed and released.
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