



SWISS COMPETENCE CENTER for ENERGY RESEARCH SUPPLY of ELECTRICITY

Preliminary regional screening for CCS in Switzerland

SCCER SoE Annual Conference, November 2, 2020

Andrea Moscariello, University of Geneva



SCCER-SoE Program Annual Conference, 2.11.2020

Acknowledgments

- Ovie Emmanuel Eruteya, UNIGE
- Matteo Spada , PSI
- Martin Saar, ETHZ
- Ben Adams, ETHZ
- Larryn Diamond, UniBE
- Gianfranco Guidati, ETHZ









Key Questions

Where [site(s)] can we store CO₂ in the subsurface in Switzerland?

What is the storage capacity at the potential sites?

❑ What is the fate of the injected CO₂ at the potential sites and its associated risks?

□ Is storage at the site economically viable? e.g. CO₂-plume-Geothermal



Swiss model - CCS





Swiss model - CCS/CPG







Where we stand ?: Theoretical storage capacity





Estimation of storage capacity for CO₂ in Switzerland



□ **Quantified capacity** for CO₂ storage in conformity with regulatory framework based on large-scale paired emitters and sinks (storage sites).

Highest precision of storage efficiency factor usually quantified from reservoir simulations and injectivity test

- Assessed capacity for CO₂ storage controlled by geological and technical constrains.
- Requirement for establishing specific site identification and selection assessment criteria.
- Theoretical capacity estimate of CO₂ storage in the Swiss Molasse Basin. CO₂ storage volume estimate are based on low-resolution data and hence prone to large uncertainties, leading to most likely unrealistic values.

* 50 Million tons storage capacity have been estimated for parts of the Upper Muschelkalk (<u>https://nfp-energie.ch/en/projects/960/</u>) see previous slide.



Site screening workflow developed for CCS/CPG in Switzerland

Typically, the viability of large industry project depends on a large number of TECOPES aspects, often intertwined and inter-dependent.

This work focused primarily on identifying the critical aspects for the following aspects:

Subsurface

Environmental

Social

Legal Framework



TECOPES: Technical, Economic, Commercial, Political, Environmental, Safety

Site screening workflow developed for CCS/CPG in Switzerland





SCCER-SoE Program Annual Conference, 2.11.2020

Modified from Chevalier et al., (2010) and https://nfp-energie.ch/en/projects/960/

Scale of Investigation and Major Steps in Process of **SCCER** Finding Qualified Sites for geological sequestration of CO₂



SoE



SCCER-SoE Program Annual Conference, 2.11.2020

Modified from Chevalier et al., (2010) and https://nfp-energie.ch/en/projects/960/



Modified from Chevalier et al., (2010) and https://nfp-energie.ch/en/projects/960/

SCCER-SoE Program Annual

13











Subsurface conceptual subsurface models for the Swiss Molasse Basin



Subsurface conceptual subsurface models for the Swiss Molasse Basin







While CO_2 can be stored in porous and permeable units in the subsurface a trap and effective seal are needed to maintain storage security at a given location over a considerable geological time.



Gräben

What did we look for ?

a depleted hydrocarbon reservoir
 minimising the sourceinter ink distance
 the best reservoir

Preliminary findings on selected sites



Location 1: Looking for a depleted hydrocarbon reservoir

Why store CO₂ in a depleted hydrocarbon reservoir ?

Depleted hydrocarbon reservoirs are suitable for carbon dioxide (CO₂) sequestration based on their storage capacity, proven seal, reservoir characterization knowledge and existing infrastructure (Hoteit et al., 2019). Where these reservoirs are characterized by adequate temperature and permeability CO₂ can be used to exploit geothermal energy via a process described as **CO₂-plume geothermal (CPG)** system (Randolph and Saar, 2011; Adams et al., 2015).





Location 2: looking for the source to sink shortest distance



21



Location 3: looking for a good

ESS-101, ER-7

HU-2, ER-34

1 cm

Buntsandstein (core from Humilly-2)

Phi (%): 12 (14) K (mD): 66 (0.42) Dens (g/cm3)2.67(2.65) Vp (m/s): 4765



Deep wells



Location 3: looking for a good reservoir





Structural Trap	Anticline					
Depth of reservoir/ aquifer (MD – m)	Top = 2'681 E		Bas	lase = 2'743		
Area of reservoir (m ²) Above spill point	Anticline A= 0.4 10 ⁶		А 0.	Anticline B= 0.58 10 ⁶		
Porosity (%)	Min= 2%	Mean=3.5%		Max=6%		
Thickness (m)	62					

Site qualifying & delimiting factors



No	Criterion	Global best	Location A	Location B	Location C	Reference / remark	
		practice Positive					
		indicators					
1	Storage capacity	 Planned CO₂ injection amount 	Injection rate yet to be defined			Overall low storage capacity less than 1Mt may be suitable for a pilot project	
2	Proximity to CO ₂ source	Close to site	< 30 km	< 5 km	< 20 km	This study	
3	Depth to reservoir/aquifer	> 800 m	4300 m	2042 m	2681 m		
4	Reservoir Area	m ²		3.7 10 ⁶ m	0.97 10 ⁶ m		Uncertainty
5	Porosity	> 10%	No data	1-7.5%	2-6%		-> Risk
6	Permeability	> 300 mD		< 2 mD	<1 mD		Low
7	Reservoir thickness	> 20 m	20 m	62.5 m	62 m		Medium
8	Caprock thickness	> 10 m	> 10 m	> 10 m	> 10 m		High
9	Faulting and Fracturing	Limited to moderate					
10	Seismicity	Limited-moderate				SED	
11	Hydrocarbon resource	Absent or small					
12	Site accessibility	Road, well head				This study- Google Earth	
13	Socio-environ. concerns	Protected areas	UNESCO	NPA		This study	
14	STORAGE VOLUME	Million Tons	0.2	0.07-0.32	0.03-0.05		



CO₂ storage in the Swiss Molasse Basin Preliminary conclusions





The way forward?







Outlook

- □ Site screening and selection activities are ongoing for other promising sites in other segments of the Swiss Molasse Basin characterized by geological structure capable of trapping injected.
- During the next phase, multiple realizations of 3D geological models will be developed for the identified sites which will be populated with realistic porosity and permeability values representative of aquifer/reservoir interval and fracture/karstic network if their presence is envisaged.
- □ 3D static model allowing the **probabilistic-based volumetric assessment** of storage capacity will then be used as input for **dynamic simulation to understand the fate of the CO₂ plume** and will serve a basis for geomechanical modelling to predict induced seismicity associated with injectivity tests and the behavior of the faults.
- Multi-criteria decision analysis will be performed encapsulating all the sites to identify the best option.

Outlook: looking for Fractured Reservoirs & Effective Seals





High dense network of fracture with a aperture between 0.1-0.2 mm can provide the required permeability to make CO2 injection viable, provided that seal integrity is ascertained.



SCCER SOE

Outlook: Does Switzerland have Effective Seals ?





Effective seals exists as few hydrocarbon discoveries have demonstrated (i.e. Eclepens, Essertines, Enthlebuch, Noville)

F6*

L5

Recent kinematics of large strike-slips systems across the Swiss Molasse Basin must be better evaluated to assess the sealing potential of these systems



Outlook: looking for structural closure







The Solar Trade Association (STA) has released its reaction to Ofgem's Decarbonisation Programme Action Plan, criticising "gaps" and providing ten recommendations.

In its response, the STA highlighted the regulatory instability caused by reforms such as the Targeted Charging Review (TCR) and the insufficient recognition for the challenges involved in gaining a grid connection for onshore renewables.

The plan is not sufficiently clear, the STA continued, and should have a longer term focus, stating "an 18-month framework is insufficient to provide the overarching, holistic approach toward decarbonisation that is needed".





Thank you for listening

CURRENT[±] https://www.current-news.co.uk/news/ofgems-decarbonisation-plan-begins-to-scratch-the-surface-says-sta-but-omissions-remain